



...the name you can BANK upon!

Share Department, Board & Coordination Division, HO Plot No.4 Sector 10, Dwarka,
New Delhi-110075 Tel No. : 011-28044857, E-mail: hosd@pnb.co.in

Scrip Code : PNB	Scrip Code : 532461
National Stock Exchange of India Limited "Exchange Plaza" Bandra – Kurla Complex, Bandra (E) Mumbai – 400 051	BSE Limited Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers, Dalal Street, Mumbai – 400 001

Date: 31.08.2023

Dear Sir(s),

Reg.: Rating Action by Fitch Ratings

The Exchange is hereby informed that Fitch Ratings vide its rating action dated 31.08.2023 has **affirmed** Bank's Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) at 'BBB-' with Stable Outlook.

Fitch Ratings has also **affirmed** the Bank's Government Support Rating (GSR) at 'bbb-' while **upgrading** the Viability Rating (VR) to 'b+' from 'b'.

A copy of the detailed rating rationale is enclosed.

The above is submitted in compliance with Regulation 30 and 51 of SEBI (LODR) Regulations, 2015.

Thanking You,

Yours faithfully,

(Ekta Pasricha)
Company Secretary
Encl.: A/a



pnbindia.in

T: 011 28075000, 28045000

पंजाब नैशनल बैंक punjab national bank

कॉर्पोरेट कार्यालय: प्लॉट सं.4, सेक्टर-10, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली-110075

Corp. Office: Plot No. 4, Sector - 10, Dwarka, New Delhi 110075 India



पंजाब नैशनल बैंक
...भरोसे का प्रतीक !



punjab national bank
...the name you can BANK upon !

PNB0215A_MAR22_VER1.0_MAR22_SESHAASAI

RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

Fitch Affirms Punjab National Bank at 'BBB-'; Upgrades VR to 'b+'

Thu 31 Aug, 2023 - 5:01 AM ET

Fitch Ratings - Singapore/Mumbai - 31 Aug 2023: Fitch Ratings has affirmed India-based Punjab National Bank's (PNB) Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) at 'BBB-'. The Outlook is Stable.

Fitch has also affirmed the bank's Government Support Rating (GSR) at 'bbb-' while upgrading the Viability Rating (VR) to 'b+' from 'b'. A full list of rating actions is below.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Support Underpins IDR, VR Upgraded: PNB's Long-Term IDR is driven by its GSR of 'bbb-', which is above the VR, reflecting Fitch's expectation of a high probability of extraordinary state support for the bank, if required. The Stable Outlook on the IDR mirrors the Outlook on the Indian sovereign's IDR (BBB-/Stable).

Fitch upgraded PNB's VR on improvement in its asset quality and earnings from relatively stressed levels. We expect these improvements to be sustainable in an improved operating environment (OE). Even so, PNB's VR is a notch below the implied VR of 'bb-' because Fitch believes the bank's risk profile has a greater impact on the VR than implied by its weighting.

High Systemic Importance: PNB's GSR factors in its systemic importance due to its large size with a market share of 6% in sector assets and 7% in deposits, and the state's 73% ownership. PNB also plays a quasi-policy role through social lending.

Risk Profile Underpins VR: PNB's risk profile has a high influence on the VR, given the bank's risk appetite was significantly higher during the previous credit cycle, which exacerbated the negative effect on the bank's key financial metrics during a less benign OE. PNB's risk profile will remain an important rating factor as the bank pursues higher loan growth with a focus on retail loans. We expect risk appetite to gradually increase, which would test its underwriting standards and risk controls.

Supportive OE: Fitch has revised the OE score to 'bb+' from 'bb' reflecting our view of structural improvements since the onset of the pandemic. The agency forecasts India to be one of the fastest-growing Fitch-rated sovereigns globally this fiscal year. Healthy business sentiment, resilient financial markets and the government's capital spending can buffer global economic headwinds and inflation.

Importantly, India also exhibits robust medium-term growth potential, supported by resilient investment prospects. Combined with an already large and diversified economy, we believe the environment is conducive for banks to do consistently profitable business, provided risks are well-managed.

Large Domestic Franchise: We believe the bank's large franchise will support profitable business generation commensurate with the 'bb+' business profile score, provided risks are controlled. PNB's risk appetite has weighed on its traditional business profile - partly under government influence, similar to other state banks - and, to a greater extent, its risk profile. This had resulted in earnings volatility over the previous cycle, which has eased amid risk aversion and ongoing clean-up of bad loans.

Asset Quality Pressures Easing: Fitch has revised PNB's asset-quality score to 'b' from 'b-', reflecting less pressure on asset quality. PNB's impaired-loan ratio fell to 7.7% in the first three months of the financial year ending March 2024 (1QFY24), from 8.7% at FYE23, as recent bad loans were more than offset by steady recoveries and write-offs. The ratio is still above the industry average, but PNB's specific loan-loss cover has improved. The outlook is positive, as we expect the core metric to fall further.

Credit Cost Remains Key: Fitch has revised PNB's earnings and profitability score to 'b' from 'b-', given the improvement in profitability. The operating profit/risk-weighted assets (RWA) ratio rose to 1.2% by end-1QFY24, from 0.7% at FYE23, as wider margins, steady loan growth and better treasury profits more than offset credit costs (1QFY24: 2% of loans; FY20: 2.9%). The outlook is positive, as we expect the core metric to improve further, and drive the four-year average towards the 'bb' threshold of 1.25%.

Stable, Moderate Capitalisation: Fitch estimates that PNB's common equity Tier 1 (CET1) ratio was 11.0% at end-1QFY24 (including profit), or 300bp above the regulatory minimum. The ratio has been stable at current levels in recent years, as better internal capital generation and moderate amounts of fresh equity capital offset higher loan growth and dividends. The net impaired loans/CET1 ratio has declined but at 23.6% remains above that of most peers, implying that capital can be vulnerable in case of unexpected losses, as PNB's financial performance has been cyclical.

Robust Funding and Liquidity: We estimate that loans/customer deposits normalised to 74% by end-1QFY24, from 72% in FYE23. Funding remains a strength, driven by high depositor confidence due to PNB's close state linkages. This is reflected in the high share of customer deposits (92%) in total funding. The bank has sufficient liquidity, reflected in its liquidity coverage ratio of 162% in FY23.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade

IDR AND GSR

The GSR is most sensitive to Fitch's assessment of the government's propensity and ability to support PNB, based on its size, systemic importance and linkages to the state. Weakening of the government's ability to provide extraordinary support - reflected in negative action on India's sovereign ratings - would likely lead to negative action on the IDR.

Negative action on the Long-Term IDRs is also likely should Fitch perceive any reduction in the government's propensity to extend timely support, in which case the agency would reassess the GSR, and in turn, the bank's Long-Term IDR, although that is not our base case.

VR

We expect PNB's VR to be stable in the near term, although it is below the implied VR. A downgrade is less likely in our base scenario, but possible if there is significant deterioration in the OE, or if the bank's risk profile becomes a more binding constraint on its modest loss-absorption buffers, reflected in a significant deterioration in key financial parameters, such as those mentioned below:

- the four-year average impaired-loan ratio approaching 15% (current: 12.2%);
- resurgence of losses, putting PNB's structural profitability in doubt; and
- a significant drop in PNB's CET1 ratio from current levels closer to the regulatory minimum of 8%, without a credible plan to restore it closer to Fitch's 'bb' threshold.

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

IDR AND GSR

Positive sovereign rating action would lead to a corresponding change to PNB's Long-Term IDR, if Fitch believes that the sovereign's ability and propensity to support the bank has improved. However, an upgrade of the sovereign rating appears unlikely in the near term. A VR upgrade is unlikely to affect the IDR, as it is four notches below the IDR.

VR

A VR upgrade is less likely in the near term, but possible if we revise upwards our assessment of its key rating factors, particularly if we assess that the bank's risk profile has improved more meaningfully and sustainably. It could also manifest in stronger key financial metrics, such as those mentioned below:

- the CET1 ratio is sustained above Fitch's 'bb' threshold;
- the four-year average impaired-loan ratio nears 5%;
- the four-year average operating profit/RWA ratio is sustained above 1.25% along with lower earnings volatility.

PNB's risk profile will also remain an important consideration in determining whether the improvements to its financial metrics can be sustained.

OTHER DEBT AND ISSUER RATINGS: KEY RATING DRIVERS

PNB's Long-Term IDR (xgs) is driven by its VR. Its Short-Term IDR (xgs) is in accordance with its Long-Term IDR (xgs) and the short-term rating mapping outlined in Fitch's criteria.

OTHER DEBT AND ISSUER RATINGS: RATING SENSITIVITIES

PNB's Long-Term IDR (xgs) will move in tandem with its VR. Its Short-Term IDR (xgs) is sensitive to changes in its Long-Term IDR (xgs) and is mapped in line with Fitch's criteria.

VR ADJUSTMENTS

PNB's VR is one notch lower than Fitch's implied VR. Fitch has used the risk profile as a negative adjustment factor to arrive at the assigned VR, as we believe that the bank's risk profile continues to have a greater impact on the VR than suggested by the weighting.

The OE score of 'bb+' has been assigned above the implied category of 'b' for the following adjustment reasons: economic performance, and size and structure of the economy (positive).

The business profile score of 'bb+' has been assigned below the implied category of 'bbb' for the following adjustment reason: management and governance (negative).

The funding and liquidity score of 'bbb-' has been assigned above the implied category of 'bb' for the following reason: deposit structure (positive).

BEST/WORST CASE RATING SCENARIO

International scale credit ratings of Financial Institutions and Covered Bond issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of four notches over three years.

The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit

<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579>

Sources of Information

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

PUBLIC RATINGS WITH CREDIT LINKAGE TO OTHER RATINGS

PNB's IDRs and the Outlook are the same as India's sovereign rating and is thus directly linked with the sovereign IDR via the bank's GSR, which reflects our view of the probability of extraordinary state support, should there be a need.

ESG CONSIDERATIONS

PNB has an ESG Relevance Score of '4' for Governance Structure, in line with other state banks. This reflects our assessment that key governance aspects, in particular board independence and effectiveness, ownership concentration and protection of creditor or stakeholder rights, have a moderate, yet negative, influence on PNB's credit profile, and are relevant to the ratings in conjunction with other factors.

Similar to our view of other state banks, we believe PNB's governance is less developed, evident from its significant lending to higher-risk borrowers and instances of weak oversight, which in PNB's case, has led to above-peer levels of stressed loans and credit losses. The board is dominated by government appointees, and its business model is often focused on supporting government strategies, with lending directed towards promoting social and economic policies, which may include lending to government-owned companies. These factors also drive our view on the bank's state linkages, which affect support prospects that determine the long-term ratings.

Fitch has revised PNB's ESG Relevance Score for Financial Transparency to the sector default score of '3' from '4'. The change primarily highlights the change in Fitch's view that risks from Covid-affected loans under forbearance have receded, despite some limitation in disclosure for those loans. This is because we believe that a large proportion of those stressed loans may actually be covered by government guarantee, which materially minimises the risk of losses from this portfolio. In addition, the quality and frequency of financial reporting and audit processes are commensurate with a score of '3', and does not weight materially on our assessment of their intrinsic creditworthiness.

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/topics/esg/products#esg-relevance-scores.

RATING ACTIONS

ENTITY / DEBT ⚡	RATING ⚡			PRIOR ⚡
Punjab National Bank	LT IDR	BBB- Rating Outlook Stable		BBB- Rating Outlook Stable
	Affirmed			
	ST IDR	F3	Affirmed	F3

Viability	b+	Upgrade	b
Government Support	bbb-	Affirmed	bbb-
LT IDR (xgs)	B+(xgs)	Upgrade	B(xgs)
ST IDR (xgs)	B(xgs)	Affirmed	B(xgs)

[VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS](#)

FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

Tania Gold
Senior Director
Primary Rating Analyst
+65 6796 7224
tania.gold@fitchratings.com
Fitch Ratings Singapore Pte Ltd.
1 Wallich Street #19-01 Guoco Tower Singapore 078881

Prakash Pandey
Associate Director
Secondary Rating Analyst
+91 22 4000 1773
prakash.pandey@fitchratings.com

Jonathan Cornish
Managing Director
Committee Chairperson
+852 2263 9901
jonathan.cornish@fitchratings.com

MEDIA CONTACTS

Bindu Menon
Mumbai
+91 22 4000 1727
bindu.menon@fitchratings.com

Leslie Tan

Singapore

+65 6796 7234

leslie.tan@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

PARTICIPATION STATUS

The rated entity (and/or its agents) or, in the case of structured finance, one or more of the transaction parties participated in the rating process except that the following issuer(s), if any, did not participate in the rating process, or provide additional information, beyond the issuer's available public disclosure.

APPLICABLE CRITERIA

[Bank Rating Criteria \(pub. 08 Sep 2022\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

[Bank Ex-Government Support Ratings Criteria \(pub. 11 Apr 2023\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

[Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form](#)

[Solicitation Status](#)

[Endorsement Policy](#)

ENDORSEMENT STATUS

Punjab National Bank

EU Endorsed, UK Endorsed

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link:

<https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>. In addition, the following <https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document> details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its

contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO

personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dv01, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2023 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

[READ LESS](#)

SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained by Fitch at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be.

Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's [Regulatory Affairs](#) page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.